

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/775,119	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CLEGG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Lawrence Shrader	<b>Art Unit</b> 2193	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Lawrence Shrader.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Randol W. Read, Reg. No. 43, 876 .

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 April 2005

**Time:** 16:15

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

8

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On line 9 of claim 8, change:

· "...the adding step being conducted with a hardware based operation;"

to

"...the adding step being conducted with a hardware based operation autonomously, without firmware interaction;" .